





## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	an ann a an an an an an			s	6765
08/239,646	05/09/94	CHERRICK			EXAMINER
				GREGORY,	
		22M2/0831		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PATENT DEPA		DODATION			3.
ZENITH ELEC	TRONICS CORF	. Cit.(44 1 T mix)			
GLENVIEW, I				2202	
				DATE MAILED:	08/31/95
This is a communication					00/31/50
COMMISSIONER OF PA	TENTS AND TRADEM	ARKS			
rtor					
This application has		Responsive to communic			
A shortened statutory per	iod for response to this	action is set to expire	month(s),	days fro	om the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within	the period for response	will cause the application to	become abandor	ned. 35 U.S.C. 133	
Part I THE FOLLOWIN	G ATTACHMENT(S) A	RE PART OF THIS ACTIO	N:		
4 Marian at 19-4-	rences Cited by Examir	nor PTO 902	2 152 Novem	on of Droftsmanic D	tent Drawing Review, PTO-948
	rences Cited by Examir Cited by Applicant, PTO-				tent Drawing Review, P1O-948 Application, PTO-152.
	How to Effect Drawing				
D II CURANA DV OF	ACTION				
Part II SUMMARY OF					
1. 🔀 Claims/_	-18				_ are pending in the application
Of the above	ve, claims			are	withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims					have been cancelled.
3. Claims					
			_	•	·
7. March This application I	nas been filed with inforr	mal drawings under 37 C.F.	.R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for exam	ination purposes.
8. Formal drawings	are required in respons	e to this Office action.			
9. The corrected or	substitute drawings hav	re been received on		Under 37 C	C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings
are 🗆 acceptab	le; Inot acceptable (se	ee explanation or Notice of	Draftsman's Paten	t Drawing Review, P	TO-948).
	dditional or substitute sh sapproved by the exami	eet(s) of drawings, filed on ner (see explanation).		has (have) been	☐ approved by the
11. The proposed dra	awing correction, filed	, ha	s been 🔲 approv	ved; □ disapproved	(see explanation).
12. Acknowledgemen	nt is made of the claim fo	or priority under 35 U.S.C.	119. The certified	copy has been r	eceived  not been received
		no.			
13. Since this applica	ation annogare to be in a	condition for allowance exce	ant for formal matte	ere prosecution as t	the marite is closed in
		arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11;		ara, prosecution as to	, me meme is closed in
14. Other					
17. L. Ouidi					

Serial Number: 08/239,646

Art Unit: 2202

1. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Throughout claims 1-18, the uses of the following are indefinite and unclear: "disrupting"; "normal functioning"; and, "codes". Throughout claims 1-18, the uses of the following are indefinite and unclear: "normal"; "audio-visual display system"; "remote control unit"; "audio-visual display device"; "audiovisual receiver"; "disrupted"; "substantially"; "disruption"; "activation state"; "activation code"; "sequence state"; "substantially less than"; and, "disabling". In claim 1, "the audio-visual receiver" lacks antecedent basis. In claim 3, it is unclear what is meant by setting "contrast and brightness" to "minimums". In claim 13, it is unclear what is meant by setting the "contrast and brightness" to "minimums". In claim 11, it is unclear what is meant by the phrase "if the code transmission sequence is not exact". Throughout claims 1-18, it is unclear how the sense of the forms of the verb "disrupt" differ from that of the use of the verb "disabling". If they are used synonymously, then usage must be made uniform throughout the claims. Dependent claims 2-9 and 11-18 are unclear in that they depend from unclear independent claims 1 and 10.

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Niwata et al ('422); den Toonder (RE 29,997); DiLorenzo ('213); Stern et al ('557); Skerlos ('032); Naito ('341); Cook,

Serial Number: 08/239,646

Art Unit: 2202

Jr. ('972); Bennett et al ('859); and, Ohno et al ('264) are all of general interest for showing methods that are similar to Applicants' invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernarr Gregory whose telephone number is (703) 308-0479.

BERNARR E. GREGORY

PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2200

beg August 25, 1995 -3-